



CONSTITUTION PARTY OF UTAH

A P R I L 2 0 0 8

57 UTAH CANDIDATES WAY TO GO!!!!

Message from the State Chairman Campaign 2008

We have an all time high of **57 Constitution Party candidates** running for office in Utah. Hats off to Leonard Olds, our SLC Chairman, and the people in Salt Lake County who have candidates in over half of their state house legislative districts. It takes courage and plenty of time to approach people and challenge them to run for office. State wide there were 45 candidates that filed for the state house and state senate. This gives us the opportunity to make our message heard more than ever before.

Those of us who did not file for office are not off the hook. It's our job to find out which candidates are running in our district and volunteer our help. County leaders need to ask for volunteers and help people get organized to campaign. They will need our ideas, legwork, and cash. Every party member should be part of a campaign. You don't have to do everything, but everyone must do all they can and then probably a little more if we are to make a difference and to have our voice heard. Attending Meet the Candidate Nights to show our support for our candidate is a great help to the candidate and it shows the public they have our support. Offer to help cover your precinct with literature at the appropriate time. Some counties have plans in place to work as a group to prepare and distribute literature for their candidates. We have to work together. **Now is the time to ask ourselves what we can give and give it all.**

Continued on page 2.

**Please make contributions to the
Candidate and mail to:**

**Constitution Party
PO Box 1215,
Bountiful, Utah 84040**

U.S. Congress District

Kirk Pearson	First
Dennis Emery	Second
Jim Noorlander	Third

State Auditor

Richard Proctor

State Senate District

James Peverelle	# 5
Elizabeth Phalps	# 8
Steve Maxfield Sr	#10
Jorgina Hancock	#23
Benton L. Petersen	#24
Robert Armstrong	#27
Wade Beatty	#29

State House District

Thomas Edwards	# 2
Becky Maddox	#11
Seth Lisonbee	#13
David Armstrong	#17
Cory Seegmiller	#19
Bob Moultree	#20
Johnathan Garrard	#21
Thomas Mangum	#22
Clark Miles	#25
Michael Jordan	#26
Jared Beck	#28
Ray Peterson	#29
Melody Chapman	#30
Michael Nichols	#31
Jennifer Schmith	#32

John Horne	#33
Barbara Benton	#34
Nishan Beelarian	#36
Patricia VanDam	#37
Matthew Wooley	#41
Barbara Nevill	#43
Dave Perry	#45
Katie Cameron	#46
Joye Wyatt	#47
Leona Olds	#48
Wayne Crawford	#49
Russell Hatch	#50
Doug Thompson	#54
Daniel Ray	#55
Michael Barron	#56
Scott P Swain	#60
Feleni Siufanue	#67
Susan Sorensen	#68
Scott Turner	#70
Bevan Bastian	#70
Michael J. Ferrin	#71
Ryan Bundy	#72
Allison Howes	#73

County Commission

Lee Phipps	Box Elder
Mitchel Gitz	Iron
Steve Maxfield Jr	Millard
Gary Shumway	Summitt
Wade Pearson	Tooele

County Mayor

Leonard Olds	Salt Lake
--------------	-----------

School Board

Terry Prisbrey	#1	Iron
----------------	----	------

Caucus Meetings

On March 25th we held precinct caucus meetings in 16 of Utah's 29 Counties. Salt Lake County had the highest attendance at 92, followed by Cache County with over 60 and Millard County with 40. With a couple of counties still unreported, over 400 people attended the CP Caucus meetings statewide. A big **THANK YOU** to many of you for your work to make this possible.

Continued from page 1.

RADIO ADS

One of our most effective and affordable means of advertising is through radio. This was extremely effective in Jim Noorlander's bid for Utah's Third Congressional seat against Cannon in 2006. In Sanpete County Jim came in second with over 23% of the vote. He likewise came in second in Millard County with 20% of the vote. We had good organizations in those counties, but what surprised us as much as anything was that in Sevier County where we had no organization at all, Noorlander received over nine percent of the vote even though there were four candidates on the ballot.

We plan to begin the radio ads as soon as funds become available. We began the project last year, but had to back off after only one month as we did not have enough funds coming into the party to sustain that effort. We cannot let that happen this year.

DONATIONS

Each of us needs to ask ourselves how much we can afford to give to help finance radio ads as well as other campaign needs. \$7.00 will usually cover a one minute ad which is heard by thousands of people and heard over a period of time, it will be a message that people will remember. A nice tri-fold brochure costs about \$500 for 5,000. Most people can donate more if they plan for a monthly donation. Perhaps a lump sum up front and also a monthly donation would be best. The candidates will get serious when they know we are.

BALLOT POSITION DRIVE IN THE WEST

On April first we received confirmation that the CP is now ballot qualified in the state of Hawaii and on the same day the CP of New Mexico turned in nearly 4,300 signatures to the Secretary of State to qualify that party for the ballot. On April 2nd, the Alaska Independence Party announced that it will place the Constitution Party's presidential candidate on the ballot in that state. That leaves only two states in the West, Arizona and Wyoming, where ballot petitioning is still going on. Washington State qualifies for ballot position via political conventions and they will do so again this year. Arizona is going to be difficult, but with work we can secure ballot position for Wyoming. That will put the CP on the ballot on at least 12 of the 13 Western States. As of April 2nd the CP is now qualified in 19 states, three more than at the same time in 2004. Petitioning is going on in a number of other states at the moment at well.

The tail is wagging the dog!!

Here is a case where the courts, are getting deep into the lives of parents and determining their decision making ability. It was the parents that originally mandated that teachers had to have teaching certificates to insure that they would meet the requirements of teaching their children. Now the courts have decided to use that concept to control the parents. It is just like the federal government when they are using their powers to control the states that created the federal government. It is just exactly backwards.

CALIFORNIA COURT ROBS PARENTS OF CHOICE

By Maurine Proctor National Update March 7, 2008

"Just as a new California law that would essentially indoctrinate children at school about homosexuality is being implemented and many parents are wondering how to protect their children's moral sense, a new wrinkle appears. The opportunity to pull a child out of government education to home school may be blocked in California.

A California Court of Appeals has ruled that unless they have a teaching certificate, parents have no legal right to home school their children. Parents may be criminally prosecuted for failing to comply. The ruling may impact California's 166,000 home schooled children and bar an avenue of choice for those who do not want their children's morality undermined at school.

"Also sabotaged is parental rights and choice in choosing the education of their children. "The message is if you don't like what's happening at school and you are sick at heart about exposing your children to it-tough.

"Shock waves are reverberating across the state.

"The decision came from the 2nd Appellate Court in Los Angeles in the case of Philip and Mary Long, and the Court said, 'We find no reason to strike down the Legislature's evaluation of what constitutes an adequate education scheme sufficient to promote the 'general diffusion of knowledge and intelligence. **We agree ... 'the educational program of the State of California was designed to promote the general welfare of all the people and was not designed to accommodate the personal ideas of any individual in the field of education.'**"

"Specifically, the appeals court said, the trial court had found that "keeping the children at home deprived them of situations where (1) they could interact with people outside the family, (2) there are people who could provide help if something is amiss in the children's lives, and (3) they could develop emotionally in a broader world than the parents' 'cloistered' setting."

"Michael Smith, president of the Home School Legal Defense Association, said the ruling would effectively ban home schooling in the state.

"California is now on the path to being the only state to deny the vast majority of home schooling parents their fundamental right to teach their own children at home," he said in a statement.

"Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger called the move by the courts "outrageous" and said that "parents should have the right to decide what's best for their children. "If the courts don't protect parents' rights then, as elected officials, we will." **What will happen now?**

**Liberty lies in the hearts of men and women.
When it dies there, no constitution, no law, no court
can save it. – Judge Learned Hand 1944 New York City**

How Government Destroys Incentive

And this case isn't even our own government, it is a foreign government that in actuality has no jurisdiction!!

In late 2006 to early 2007 European Union Commissioner Neelie Kroes announced a \$357 million fine against Microsoft for ignoring an EU directive to share its program code with its European competitors. Here is a case of a U.S. company being fined by a foreign power for not giving up its company secrets to that foreign power's companies. That would put the foreign company in a position to use that information to destroy the U.S. company? This was under the auspices of The International Court of Justice, a United Nations body, that meets at the Hague in the Netherlands. We are not signatories of the treaty establishing this court, but as members of the United Nations we are considered bound by its rulings. There is no appeal of the rulings of this court. On February 28, 2008 the "Wall Street Journal Europe" reported on the final outcome. The article is included below.

Microsoft's Late Fee

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL EUROPE
February 28, 2008

"Four months ago, Microsoft surrendered to Brussels. Yesterday came the bill for not capitulating sooner: 899 million Euros, or \$1.36 billion, another record fine for the American software giant.

"The latest penalty covers a 488-day period when the European Commission says Microsoft wasn't complying with a 2004 order to share certain software code with rivals on "reasonable" terms. The code allows other firms' programs to work with Microsoft's ubiquitous Windows software, which Brussels deems crucial to competition in the industry.

"Microsoft has already racked up some \$1 billion in fines in the case, and EU antitrust boss Neelie Kroes said yesterday that she took "no pleasure" in issuing another levy after her demands were fully met. She could have fooled us.

"We have no brief with Microsoft. But the broader issue here, with potential ramifications for other firms, is Ms. Kroes's belief that she should determine the worth of private intellectual property.

"In following up the 2004 case, Ms. Kroes has said Microsoft's code wasn't really all

that innovative -- contrary, in some cases, to what patent offices in the U.S. and Europe decided -- and therefore wasn't worth what Microsoft said it was worth.

"When the case started, Microsoft's terms for sharing its code included a 2.98% royalty from the revenues of products that used it. In May, it lowered that price to 0.5% within Europe. But Ms. Kroes wasn't satisfied until Microsoft dropped its price globally to a flat 10,000 Euro charge, which it did in October.

"By comparison, even anticorporate activists say a pharmaceutical company should get around 4% of revenues when a government forces it to hand over a drug patent to a generic maker.

"Software and lifesaving medicines aren't really comparable. Yet both cases reflect the mindset that the state knows best when it comes to the use and worth of private property. In both cases governments overestimate their ability to intervene in the market without killing the incentive for successful companies to keep creating the

goods the public wants or even needs.

"Talk is cheap. [Flouting] the law is expensive," Ms. Kroes admonished Microsoft yesterday. The cost of her improvised lawmaking will be a lot higher than this spectacular fine."

This is a case of how government destroys incentive. If a company that works and risks capital to develop an innovative product is forced by a government to give it away, will it continue to develop new products? Of course not! It will keep its capital safe and do nothing. **And this case isn't even our own government, it is a foreign government that in actuality has no jurisdiction!!** We have not agreed with this Judicial treaty and yet we are forced to honor its unappealable verdicts. Now think what an unelected government will do when finally established and is called the North American Union. Do you think that they will be responsive to our demands and needs or just look after their own power?



PARTY OF THE AMERICAN DREAM
P.O. BOX 1215, BOUNTIFUL, UT 84010
WWW.CPUTAH.ORG
WWW.TURNTOTHECONSTITUTION.COM

Words to... ..the Wise

"It is well known that there have always been those amongst us who wish to enlarge the powers of the General Government; and experience would seem to indicate that there is a tendency on the part of this Government to overstep the boundaries marked out for it by the Constitution. Its legitimate authority is abundantly sufficient for all the purposes for which it was created; and its powers being expressly enumerated, there can be no justification for claiming anything beyond them. **Every attempt to exercise power beyond these limits should be promptly and firmly opposed.**

For one evil example will lead to other measures still more mischievous; and if the principle of constructive powers or supposed advantages or temporary circumstances shall ever be permitted to justify the assumption of a power not given by the Constitution, the General Government will before long absorb all the powers of legislation, and you will have in effect but one consolidated Government. From the extent of our country, its diversified interests, different pursuits, and different habits, it is

too obvious for argument that a single consolidated Government would be wholly inadequate to watch over and protect its interests; **and every friend of our free institutions should be always prepared to maintain unimpaired and in full vigor the rights and sovereignty of the States and to confine the action of the General Government strictly to the sphere of its appropriate duties."**

Andrew Jackson, American Presidents: Farewell Messages to the Nation, 1796-2001, By Gleaves Whitney, Pub: Lexington Books (December 2002) p.74.

Upcoming Events

STATE CONVENTION SATURDAY APRIL 19TH

Our state convention will be held at the Salt Lake County Chambers Building, 1910 S. State, 9:00 AM to 3:30 PM. There is a \$5 registration for delegates. The keynote speaker will be Ronald Mann, a former aid to President Ronald Reagan. He is a student of the life of George Washington and is a tremendous speaker. We urge you to make every effort to attend the state convention whether you are a delegate or not. Bring a lunch so we can use the time to get to know each other. It will be an event you will long remember.

NATIONAL CONVENTION

April 23-26, 2008
Kansas City, Missouri

The National Nominating Convention will be held in Kansas City, Kansas less than one week after our state convention to select our presidential and vice-presidential candidates. Our leaders have been working to get the best candidates possible. It too promises to be a big event. Utah has been allotted 15 delegates to our national convention and we encourage others to go as well.

Constitutional Paradigm

Seminar - Gary Alder
May 2nd SL County Complex

CONSTITUTION SEMINARS

Magna and West Valley City:
Joyce Mahoney, 250-9419
Taylorsville and Kearns:
Warren Rogers, 792-5378
West Jordan:
Joye Wyatt, 569-0155
South Jordan, Riverton, Bluffdale:
Russell Hatch, 253-0882
Midvale, Sandy, and Draper:
Elizabeth Phalp, 561-7807
Salt Lake City, South Salt Lake,
Millcreek, Murray, and Holiday:
Leonard Olds 576-0276