



Reestablishing the Constitutional Principles of the Founding Fathers

Constitution Party Presidential Candidate to Speak in Utah

- Peroutka visits Utah July 26-27, 2004.
- Institute on the Constitution to Begin in August.



Contents:

Presidential Visit	1
Defend the Family	2
Iraq	4
Columbine	5
Constitution Class	6
Chairman's Message	7
Mack Withdraws	8

“Honor God, Defend the Family, Restore the Republic” – those words are the battle cry of Michael Peroutka, Constitution Party Presidential candidate. Mr. Peroutka, a Maryland attorney and constitutional scholar, will be in Utah July 26-27th spreading his message that government must be expected to uphold the Constitution and to support traditional family values. If you believe in God, embrace liberty and state’s rights, the Constitution Party may be for you. If so, you are not alone. The Constitution Party is the most rapidly growing political party in the United States and, in fact, is now the third largest party in our country in terms of registered voters. Mr.

Peroutka is one of the major catalysts for the surge in interest in the Constitution Party. He founded the Institute on the Constitution as a way to educate Americans on the foundations and intent of our most honored legal document. The Institute has supporters in all fifty states.

Mr. Peroutka’s campaign themes include a pro-life platform, opposition to illegal immigration and a return of government to the state level. The Constitution Party believes that America has lost its moral compass and seeks to remind us that the Founding Fathers were deeply religious men who sought to create not a religious Republic but a Christian Republic. Mr. Peroutka believes that America’s elected officials must stand up against socialist, globalist and secular influences that are destroying our national fiber.

The Constitution Party presidential candidate will be speaking on July 26 th at 7:30 PM. at the Ben Lomond High School on 800 Jackson Avenue in Ogden, Utah. Seating capacity of the high school auditorium is only 500 so the public is encouraged to secure tickets in advance of the meeting. The contact person for the Ogden meeting is Weber County Chairman Dr. Reed Mackley. He can be reached at 801-721-5357 or at other phone numbers listed in this article.

The following evening, June 27th, Mr. Peroutka will be speaking at the same hour in the Ballroom

of the Utah Valley State College (UVSC) in Orem, Utah. Even though the seating capacity is larger at UVSC, the public is encouraged to obtain tickets in advance. Ed McGarr, Utah County Chairman is the contact person for this event and he can be reached at 801-785-2240. Tickets can also be purchased through the Constitution Party state web site at www.cputah.org or by calling 801-292-7760 or 801-554-4056. Tickets can also be obtained by writing to the Constitution Party of Utah, P. O. Box 1215, Bountiful, UT 84010. Suggested donations for the tickets are \$5.00 per adult or \$12.00 per family.

A detailed itinerary of Peroutka’s visit will be listed on the website. On behalf of the Constitution Party, Michael Peroutka has been traveling throughout the county in the company of Alabama Supreme Court Justice, Roy Moore, “The Ten Commandments Judge,” since last fall and has been drawing very receptive crowds. He has been particularly popular in the Western States where on February 27th he spoke to a crowd of over 1,200 in Helena, Mt, and he has since spoken to large crowds in Portland, OR, Las Vegas, NV, and Spokane, and Renton, Washington. Constitution Party State Chairman, Frank Fluckiger said he is very pleased that Mr. Peroutka will be coming to Utah. An effort was made to bring him to Utah to speak at the party’s state convention in May, but his schedule was already booked through June. James Clymer, the National Party Chairman came in his stead and he too was very well received.

Constitution Party State Chairman, Frank Fluckiger encouraged all Utahans who are concerned about the course our nation is taking to make every effort to come and listen to Mr. Peroutka speak. Changing the direction our nation is taking will not be easy, but the longer we as citizens wait to take action to correct the course we are pursuing, the more costly and painful will be the price that we will have to pay. **“If we as citizens do not begin to take action, then who will do it for us and if we do not step forward now then when? These are soul searching questions that each of us must ask ourselves,”** Fluckiger said.

Defend the Family by Chuck Baldwin

Speech Delivered Before the State of Ohio Reform Party Annual Convention

Ashland, Ohio, Sat. May 8, 2004

(This is an edited version taken from the speaker's notes; it was not taken from the verbal delivery.)

Defend The Family

It is a historical fact that those nations who normalized, legitimized, and legalized aberrant sexual behavior, such as homosexuality, met with certain doom! One can like that fact or not, but it doesn't change history one iota. Any country that seeks to make sodomy part of its mainstream culture has a death wish.

A high standard for public servants has always been essential to maintaining the trust and confidence of the citizenry. Our second President, John Adams, said, "Liberty cannot be preserved without a general knowledge among the people, who have a right, an indisputable, unalienable, indefeasible, divine right to that most dreaded and envied kind of knowledge, I mean the character and conduct of their rulers."

Before selecting military and even civilian personnel for many classified or secured jobs, our country will conduct extensive background investigations into the moral character of potential candidates. The same is true for many positions in the business world and in law enforcement. Even head coaches of high school and college sports teams are expected to maintain unblemished moral integrity.

Is America now prepared to lower the standard for the most sacred and most fundamental institution of all, holy matrimony, in order to accommodate moral deviants? Are we now willing to put the public stamp of approval upon depraved and abhorrent lifestyles? It appears that many people in both major parties are willing to do just that.

Homosexuality, by very definition, is an act of fornication. If homosexuality is granted legal, legitimate social status, we will have repudiated two thousand years of Western Civilization and will no longer be capable of maintaining normalcy for sexual mores within our society.

Furthermore, the fundamental essence of the homosexual lifestyle demands that homosexuals must recruit, because they cannot reproduce. Therefore, to normalize sodomy threatens, not only our country's moral integrity, but also its very existence!

Again, one needs to only look at history to realize the absurdity of any nation turning its back on traditional, monogamous,

heterosexual marriage as the bedrock foundation of its society. Virtually every great nation and empire of antiquity that attempted to normalize and legalize sodomite behavior experienced a certain and sudden demise. There are no exceptions!

Unfortunately, America's slippery slope toward Sodom and Gomorrah is already in progress and, unfortunately, it is being facilitated by both major parties in Washington, D.C.

Both John Kerry and G.W. Bush try to create the impression that they offer the American people a distinctly different vision from each other. However, the reality is, in many issues, including the one we are now discussing, there is virtually no difference. Both Mr. Kerry and Mr. Bush oppose same-sex marriage but support "civil unions" for same-sex couples.

Yet, for all practical, legal purposes, there is no difference between marriage and civil unions. Kerry and Bush are merely playing word games with the American people. They want to appease both the homosexual lobby and mainstream, moral America. Once again, they are talking out of both sides of their mouths.

The only area of disagreement between Bush and Kerry on the homosexual issue is a proposed Constitutional amendment defining marriage as between a man and a woman. Bush favors such an amendment; Kerry does not.

Let me here emphatically say that the marriage amendment is NOT the answer to this problem! It is absolutely the wrong thing to do! For one thing, the federal government has no authority to define marriage. It has already been defined-by God! To allow the federal government to begin defining marriage can only lead to more and increased problems.

Once again, federal courts are pushing the envelope in this country. It is the courts that are attempting to redefine the traditional definition of marriage. There has not been, and probably will not soon (if ever) be a State that by due process (the ballot box or vote of the legislature) has successfully redefined marriage as being between same-sex persons.

Beyond that, Congress has already passed the Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA) that prohibits same-sex marriage. And it became law when President Bill Clinton signed it. Now all Congress needs to do is tell the federal courts to keep their grubby hands off it, which they have they power to do under Article 3, Section 2, of the U.S. Constitution.

...continued on page 3

...continued **Defend the Family** by Chuck Baldwin

Passing a marriage amendment would actually make a bad problem worse. By passing a federal amendment, it would almost certainly guarantee that the federal courts would be able to interpret it any way they chose. Seeing the way federal courts are misinterpreting just about every constitutional amendment already written, why would anyone believe that a new one is going to fare any better?

I can almost guarantee this audience that if a marriage amendment was to pass, some federal court would rule that polygamy, or even incest, is "constitutional," and then another whole can of worms would be opened. A constitutional amendment invites additional judicial encroachment upon the institution of marriage and subjects it to any and all governmental abuse.

Instead of promoting a constitutional amendment, President Bush should uphold his oath of office under Article 4, Section 4, that says, "The United States shall guarantee to every State in the Union a Republican form of Government."

That means, the President has the authority to insist that any and all matters not specifically prescribed to the federal government by the Constitution are reserved to the States and to the people (see the Tenth Amendment). If individual states want to attempt to legalize homosexual marriage, let them try it lawfully: through their elected representatives and governors.

I would dare say that not even the State of Massachusetts or the State of California could pass a same-sex marriage law. And even if they did, DOMA actually prohibits other states from recognizing any such state law.

Defending the family also involves getting the federal government out of areas in which it has no business, such as education. It is not the federal government's responsibility to educate our children. It is the job of parents to educate their children.

Our public education system is a mess! Mainly, this is due to the vast, overreaching intrusion into education by the federal government. And instead of reducing the federal government's role (and cost) in education, President Bush and the Republican Party passed the No Child Left Behind Act, which is the largest and most invasive education bill in the history of the republic!

In 1994, Republicans were swept into the majority of both houses of Congress on the promise of eliminating at least five federal departments, including the Department of Education. Ten years later, not only have they not eliminated the Department of Education, they have increased its authority and cost by record levels! As a result, the federal government is more involved, more intrusive, more costly, and more problematic to the area of education than it was when Democrats were in control. How can Christians, conservatives, and constitutionalists continue to support such a party?

© Chuck Baldwin

NOTE TO THE READER:

Chuck Baldwin's commentaries are copyrighted and may be republished, reposted, or emailed providing the person or organization doing so does not charge for subscriptions or advertising and that the column is copied intact and that full credit is given and that Chuck's web site address is included.

Please visit Chuck's web site at <http://www.chuckbaldwinlive.com>

To subscribe to these columns, send a message to majordomo@chuckbaldwinlive.com with the words subscribe chuckwagon in the body of the message. To unsubscribe put the words unsubscribe chuckwagon in the body of the message.

"Instead of proposing a constitutional amendment, President Bush should uphold his oath of office."

-- Chuck Baldwin

General Anthony Zinni --- No 'Nitwit Pundit' --- Blames Neoconservatives

And Says Their Iraq Course 'Headed Over Niagara Falls'

Reprinted by permission of John Lofton

John Lofton

May 31, 2004

In a recent column, Tony Blankley, editorial page editor of "The Washington Times," attacked, among others, "nitwit pundits" who talk about an "exit strategy" in Iraq as if such a strategy "were just one more map quest printout." Any such strategy, he said, "will lead us only on a short path to hell." We should, instead, be pursuing "triumph in Iraq."

The problem, of course, with our unnecessary, unconstitutional war in Iraq is that there appears to be not only no exit strategy but also no entrance strategy and no strategy now that we've entered this bloody mess.

But, don't take what I say at face value. Listen to the words of retired General Anthony Zinni --- no nitwit he. From 1997 to 2000, he was commander-in-chief of the U.S. Central Command. He was in charge of all American troops in the Middle East.

Following Gen. Zinni's retirement from the Marine Corps, the Bush Administration thought so much of him that he was appointed their special envoy to the Middle East. In mid-March of 2002, President Bush said that he and Vice President Dick Cheney "both trust" Gen. Zinni. In this same month and year, Vice President Cheney called him "a superb officer." And in late May of this year, even after the interview I'm about to tell you about, White House press spokesman Scott McClellan said: "We have great respect for General Zinni."

In his "60 Minutes" interview on May 23, 2004, Gen. Zinni said, about our Iraq "cakemire" --- a cakewalk that becomes a quagmire: "There has been poor strategic thinking in this, there has been poor operational planning and execution on the ground." Rejecting the idea of "staying the course," he added: "This course is headed over Niagara Falls... this course [has been] a failure."

Gen. Zinni says "there was dereliction in insufficient forces being put on the ground and fully understanding the military dimensions of the plan." And before our invasion of Iraq, he said this was the wrong war at the wrong time with the wrong strategy.

In this interview, Gen. Zinni explained what he means when he says our war in Iraq is a war wanted by civilians, not the generals: "Well, I think the generals, and I can't speak for all generals, certainly, but I know we felt that this situation was contained. Saddam was effectively contained. The no-fly, no-drive zones, the sanctions that were imposed on him. Now, at the

same time, we had this war on terrorism. We were fighting al-Qaeda, we were engaged in Afghanistan. We were looking at cells in 60 countries. We were looking at threats that we were receiving information on and intelligence on. And I think most of the generals felt, 'Let's deal with this one at a time, let's--let's deal with this threat from terrorism, from al-Qaeda.'"

Gen. Zinni says his plan for Iraq called for about 300,000 troops. He says the Pentagon relied on inflated intelligence information about weapons of mass destruction from Iraqi exiles like Ahmed Chalabi and others whose credibility was doubtful. He says there was no viable plan or strategy in place for governing a post-Saddam Iraq.

Gen. Zinni says: "As best I could see, I saw a pick-up team, very small, insufficient, in the Pentagon with no detailed plans that walked onto the battlefield after the major fighting stopped and tried to work it out in a huddle. In effect, to create a seat-of-the-pants operation on reconstructing a country with the complexity of problems that anyone could foresee they were going to have."

For all of this, Gen. Zinni blames "the civilian leadership of the Pentagon directly" and others who are so-called neoconservatives. These individuals include Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz, Undersecretary of Defense Douglas Feith, former Defense policy board member Richard Perle, National Security Council member Eliot Abrams, and Vice President Cheney's chief of staff, Lewis Scooter Libby. He believes these persons are political ideologues who have hijacked American policy in Iraq. And they advocated an invasion of Iraq to, among other things, strengthen the position of Israel.

When asked if he thinks these neocons are the architects of our Iraq war?, Gen. Zinni says: "I think they are. I think it's the worst-kept secret in Washington. That everybody I talk to in Washington has known, and fully knows, what their agenda was and what they were trying to do.

"In one article--because I mentioned the neo-conservatives, who describe themselves as neo-conservatives, I was called anti-Semitic. I mean, you know, unbelievable that that's the kind of personal attacks that are run when you criticize a strategy of those that propose it. I certainly didn't criticize who they were. I certainly don't know what their ethnic religious backgrounds are. And I'm not interested. I know what strategy they promoted, and openly, and for a number of years, and what they have convinced the president and the secretary to do. And I don't believe there is any serious political leader, military leader, diplomat in Washington that doesn't know where it came from."

...continued on page 6

Congressional Testimony of Columbine High School Parent

The following is the testimony of Darrel Scott given to the Judiciary Committee's subcommittee. What he says is very sobering but something all Americans need to read and ponder.

DARRELL SCOTT TESTIMONY

Guess our national leaders didn't expect this, hmm? On Thursday, Darrell Scott, the father of Rachel Scott, a victim of the Columbine High School shootings in Littleton, Colorado, was invited to address the House Judiciary Committee's subcommittee. What he said to our national leaders during this special session of Congress was painfully truthful.

They were not prepared for what he was to say, nor was it received well. It needs to be heard by every parent, every teacher, every politician, every sociologist, every psychologist, and every so-called expert! These courageous words spoken by Darrell Scott are powerful, penetrating, and deeply personal. There is no doubt that God sent this man as a voice crying in the wilderness. The following is a portion of the transcript:

"Since the dawn of creation there has been both good & evil in the hearts of men and women. We all contain the seeds of kindness or the seeds of violence. The death of my wonderful daughter, Rachel Joy Scott, and the deaths of that heroic teacher, and the other eleven children who died must not be in vain. Their blood cries out for answers.

"The first recorded act of violence was when Cain slew his brother Abel out in the field. The villain was not the club he used. Neither was it the NCA, the National Club Association. The true killer was Cain, and the reason for the murder could only be found in Cain's heart.

"In the days that followed the Columbine tragedy, I was amazed at how quickly fingers began to be pointed at groups such as the NRA. I am not a member of the NRA. I am not a hunter. I do not even own a gun. I am not here to represent or defend the NRA - because I don't believe that they are responsible for my daughter's death. Therefore I do not believe that they need to be defended. If I believed they had anything to do with Rachel's murder I would be their strongest opponent.

I am here today to declare that Columbine was not just a tragedy-it was a spiritual event that should be forcing us to look at where the real blame lies! Much of the blame lies here in this room. Much of the blame lies behind the pointing fingers of the accusers themselves. "I wrote a poem just four nights ago that expresses my feelings best. This was written way before I knew I would be speaking here today:

Your laws ignore our deepest needs,
Your words are empty air.
You've stripped away our heritage,
You've outlawed simple prayer.
Now gunshots fill our classrooms,
And precious children die.
You seek for answers everywhere,
And ask the question "Why?"
You regulate restrictive laws,
Through legislative creed.
And yet you fail to understand,
That God is what we need!

"Men and women are three-part beings. We all consist of body, soul, and spirit. When we refuse to acknowledge a third part of our make-up, we create a void that allows evil, prejudice, and hatred to rush in and wreak havoc. Spiritual presences were present within our educational systems for most of our nation's history. Many of our major colleges began as theological seminaries. This is a historical fact. What has happened to us as a nation? We have refused to honor God, and in so doing, we open the doors to hatred and violence. And when something as terrible as Columbine's tragedy occurs -- politicians immediately look for a scapegoat such as the NRA. They immediately seek to pass more restrictive laws that contribute to erode away our personal and private liberties. We do not need more restrictive laws. "Eric and Dylan would not have been stopped by metal detectors. No amount of gun laws can stop someone who spends months planning this type of massacre. The real villain lies within our own hearts.

"As my son Craig lay under that table in the school library and saw his two friends murdered before his very eyes-He did not hesitate to pray in school. I defy any law or politician to deny him that right! I challenge every young person in America, and around the world, to realize that on April 20, 1999, at Columbine High School prayer was brought back to our schools. Do not let the many prayers offered by those students be in vain. Dare to move into the new millennium with a sacred disregard for legislation that violates your God-given right to communicate with Him. To those of you who would point your finger at the NRA - I give to you a sincere challenge. Dare to examine your own heart before casting the first stone!

My daughter's death will not be in vain! The young people of this country will not allow that to happen!"

... continued **General Anthony Zinni**

Then asked if he was these neocons would he resign?, Gen. Zinni says: "Yeah. Definitely. If I were the commander of a military organization that delivered this kind of performance to the president, I certainly would tender my resignation. I certainly would expect to be gone."

Gen. Zinni, who now teaches international relations at William & Mary, says he speaks out now because he has a duty to do this: "It is part of my duty. It is part of duty. Look, there is one statement that bothers me more than anything else, and that's the idea that when the troops are in combat, everybody has to shut up. Imagine if we put troops in combat with a faulty rifle, and that rifle was malfunctioning and troops were dying as a result; I can't think of anybody that would allow that to happen, that would not speak up. Well, what's the difference between that and a faulty plan and a faulty concept and strategy that's getting just as many troops killed and is leading down a path where we're not succeeding in accomplishing the mission that we've set out to do?"

Well, amen!

As for those of us who think it wise to have an "exit strategy" before entering a war, we are not nitwits. No, we are just taking seriously what our Lord says in Luke 14:28ff:

"For which of you, intending to build a tower, sitteth not down first, and counteth the cost, whether he havesufficient to finish it? Lest haply, after he hath laid the foundation, and is not able to finish it, all that behold it begin to mock him, Saying, This man began to build, and was not able to finish. Or what king, going to make war against another king, sitteth not down first, and consulteth whether he be able with ten thousand to meet him that cometh against him with twenty thousand....So he that hath ears to hear, let him hear."

John Lofton calls himself a "recovering, ex-Republican." He once worked for George H.W. Bush at the Republican National Committee and is now Communications Director for Constitution Party Presidential candidate Michael Anthony Peroutka. His email is: JLoof@aol.com

Website redesign unveiled!

Our website, CPUtah has recently been unveiled. Next time you are online, take a few minutes to read the latest Constitution Party news!

Interesting articles that are available online right now include an update on the national party activities, as well as reports from the national convention, held this past June in Valley Forge.

You can also elect to receive the official newsletter via the Internet. Simply select the "Newsletters" link from the CPUtah.org homepage for instructions.

Institute on the Constitution Classes to Begin August 17th and 18th

We will be starting two 12-week classes on the U.S. Constitution in the West Valley area of Salt Lake City. The two class sessions will be held starting on Tuesday, August 17, 2004, and the other starting Wednesday, August 18, 2004, continuing for twelve weeks on the same day of the week.

Dr. John Eidsmoe will be teaching the course (by video). Dr. Eidsmoe is a professor of Constitutional Law at the Thomas Goode Jones School of Law at Faulkner University.

This course is considered to be a most

effective tool in understanding the Constitution and why the Constitution remains so important in the governance of our political system.

The course is appropriate for junior high students and older as well as adults. There is no charge but seating is limited. Class time is 7 PM to 8:30 PM.

To register, or for more information, contact Jim Brown at AmSov@bigfoot.com or (801) 557-9225.

Message from the State Chairman

Both the Utah Constitution Party State Convention and the National Convention are behind us and both were resounding successes. The resounding success of the our state convention did not go unnoticed by National Chairman, James Clymer and other national leaders. Mr. Clymer was impressed at the significant group in attendance at the convention. Fourteen of Utah's 29 Counties were represented at the convention with a total attendance of about 140. Attendance was up over three fold from our last state convention held in October at which only nine counties were represented. Two additional counties, Washington and Millard would have been represented had it not been for last minute problems that prevented delegates from those two counties from attending.

My sincere thanks goes out to the many people who helped to make the convention such a success. Dr. Randy Dull did an outstanding job of conducting the convention. James Curneal, Salt Lake County Chairman was in charge of registration. He and his wife and Reldon and Doreen White of Bountiful did a tremendous job. James Brown was in charge of the balloting and 17-year-old Rendle Fluckiger handled the sound system and the recording of the convention. Lyle and Vona Hunsaker of Provo did the video taping of the event. The County Facilities staff met with the party leaders in advance and they went out of their way to make sure everything was well prepared in advance.

There are many others who helped, but there are too many people to name, but just the same, you know who you are and I express my sincere appreciation to you for all that you did.

Much of the success of the convention is a result of the party caucus meetings that were held throughout the state and the subsequent county conventions. Over forty precinct caucus meetings were held and several county conventions. These meetings brought many new people into the party. It was through this effort that Utah County became organized. Joel

Skousen, and Ed McGarr stepped forward to help us in that county and in a matter of days caucus meetings were organized and held. The attendance at the Utah County Convention exceeded the attendance of the entire CP state convention last year.

However, with all of this success comes even more work that lies ahead. One of the key factors in us getting a two-day visit from our Presidential Candidate, Michael Peroutka was the glowing report the James Clymer gave the national organization of our state convention. Mr. Peroutka will speak at the Ben Lomond High School auditorium on July 26 th and at the Utah Valley State College Ballroom (UVSC) in Orem, the following evening. Both meetings will begin at 7:30 PM. It is absolutely imperative that we get large crowds out to both of these meetings. In addition we will line up radio and newspaper interviews as well. Please check on our state web site www.cputah.org regularly for the latest updates on the itinerary. We will also have flyers that can be down loaded, printed out and given to your friends and family. We need to have as many tickets pre-sold for these events as possible. We are asking a suggested donation of \$5.00 per adult and \$12.00 per family, but please do not let the cost stop you from attending. Getting a large crowd out to hear Mr. Peroutka will enable us to build a strong grass roots organization for the upcoming fall campaign. If we do well, we are certain that we can get Peroutka back to the state in September or October and then we will take him to other parts of the state as well.

I sincerely ask you all to take this challenge seriously. We can expect little if any favorable publicity from the major news media so we must rely on our own ingenuity and resources. Please understand that we can make a difference, but the effort must begin with each of us individually. Again, my sincere thanks to all of you for your continued support and efforts to restore constitutional government to our republic.

Frank Fluckiger
State Chairman

Can You Help?

Yes, I would like to help support the Constitution Party of Utah. Enclosed is my donation.

Donation amount \$ _____

Names _____

Address _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

e-mail address _____

Occupation _____

Please mail donations to:

Constitution Party of Utah
PO Box 1215
Bountiful UT 84010

*Donations are not considered tax-deductible

Employer _____

Constitution Party of Utah

PO Box 1215
Bountiful UT 84010

E-MAIL:
frankf@cputah.org

We're on the Web!

See us at:

www.cputah.org

Sheriff Richard Mack Withdraws from Governor's Race

Richard Mack has withdrawn from the Utah's governor's race. He did so to participate in the American Candidate TV Show that is currently underway. To many of our members this was a big disappointment, but it was a decision that was not for us to make. Many have asked how this will affect the Constitution Party's effort to retain ballot position. Since Sheriff Mack was running on the Libertarian Party banner, his dropping out of the race will have no bearing at all. The disappointing thing is that there is no longer a good conservative candidate to vote for. In view of this, the party may run a write in candidate for governor, but that remains to be seen. But doing so would still not help the party maintain ballot position since the person could not run under the banner of the CP, but only as a write in.

For the party to maintain ballot position, any one of our candidates running for office on the CP must receive two percent of the combined vote received by all of the three U. S. Congressional candidates running for office. The party has two state -wide candidates that would make this possible. The first is our presidential candidate Michael Anthony Peroutka and the second is our U. S. Senate candidate Gary Van Horn who is running against Senator Bennett. If either of these candidates receives two or more percent of the vote mentioned above, the Constitution Party will retain ballot position. This would also be possible if any one of the CP congressional candidates received six percent or more of the vote.

Our best chances of retaining ballot position however, are with Michael Peroutka and Gary Van Horn. That is why a vote for both of these candidates is so critical. Gary Van Horn is currently receiving two percent of the vote in state- wide polls and we expect him to do much better in the election. He has pretty good name recognition and is very well respected by those who know him. The fact the Senator Bennett has become embroiled in the Third Congressional District Primary race in his effort to defeat Throckmorton, should work to the benefit of Van Horn. Bennett's bitter remarks about Throckmorton have offended many conservatives to such a degree that many of them will now most likely vote for Gary Van Horn instead of Bennett. It also brought home to many voters that Bennett is every bit as much in favor of giving legal status to illegal immigrants as is Cannon. Up to that point most voters were not aware of his position.

Also we expect Michael Peroutka to do very well in the state. He is an extremely articulate speaker and the media personnel that do come in contact with him take and almost immediate liking to him. He comes across to the public as very intelligent and extremely well informed on the Constitution. He will be speaking in Ogden at the Ben Lomond High School on July 26th and again the next evening at the McKay Ballroom at Utah Valley State College in Orem. He is receiving an impressive number of endorsements from fairly well known writers as well.

**CONSTITUTION
PARTY OF UTAH**
PO Box 1215
Bountiful UT 84010

